

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,341	12/19/2001		Martin Weber	50445	1795	
26474	7590	01/05/2004		EXAMINER		
KEIL & WEINKAUF				SZEKELY, PETER A		
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1714		

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· C	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/018,341	WEBER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE - Fabile communication and	Peter Szekely	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	hely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	ctoher 2003						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the oral Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct in the oral replacement of the correct in the oral replacement of the oral replace	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received.	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152. (a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the section of the first sentence of the section of the sentence	ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(et t sentence of the specification or visional application has been receiptionity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	d in this National Stage d.) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following: There is no "m" shown in Formula (I). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The phrase "conventional additives" renders the claims indefinite. One of ordinary skill in the art would not know which additives are considered conventional or unconventional by applicants. The specification does not contain any listing of conventional additives. Applicants are requested to list the additives they intend to claim in the claims in the form of a proper Markush group.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 provide for the use of the molding compositions, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,341

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966). There are no process steps enumerated in the claims. "Using" and "producing" are not process steps when a "process for producing" is claimed.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter Šžekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 12/23/03